

Detection of chronic renal failure (CRF) among HIV-patients within the EuroSIDA Study

A Mocroft¹, O Kirk², J Gatell³, P Reiss⁴, P Gargalianos⁵, K Zilmer⁶, M Beniowski⁷, JP Viard⁸, S Staszewski⁹, JD Lundgren² for the EuroSIDA study group*

*Royal Free and University College Medical School, London, UK; ²Copenhagen HIV Programme, Hvidovre, Denmark; ³Hospital Clinic i Provincial, Barcelona, Spain; ⁴Academisch Medisch Centrum bij de Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁵Athens General Hospital, Athens, Greece; ⁶West-Tallinn Central Hospital, Tallinn, Estonia; ⁷Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Chorzow, Poland; ⁸Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, Paris, France; ⁹JW Goethe University Hospital, Frankfurt, Germany.

Amanda Mocroft, PhD
Royal Free Centre for HIV Medicine and Dept Primary Care and Population Sciences, Royal Free and University College London Medical Schools
Royal Free Campus, Rowland Hill St, London, NW3 2PF, United Kingdom
Tel: 44-(0)2078302239
Fax: 44-(0)2077941224
E-mail: a.mocroft@pcps.ucl.ac.uk

INTRODUCTION

- HIV infection is associated with several types of renal dysfunction, including HIV-associated nephropathy (HIVAN), immune complex kidney disease and acute renal failure.
- A number of antiretrovirals (indinavir, ritonavir, and tenofovir DF) and other drugs commonly used to treat opportunistic infections (acyclovir, amphotericin B, foscarnet, cidofovir, adefovir and pentamidine) may be associated with nephrotoxicity.
- The glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is a measure of kidney function and can be measured via
 - Cockcroft-Gault (CG) equation
 - Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) equation

AIMS

- To characterise patients with CRF, as measured by 2 consecutive abnormally reduced GFR measurements ($\leq 60 \text{ mL/min per } 1.73\text{m}^2$).
- To describe antiretroviral treatment and experience in relation to CRF.

METHODS

Patients from EuroSIDA with ≥ 2 serum creatinine measurements measured after 1 January 2004 were included providing they had weight measured within 6 months of the serum creatinine measurement and had height recorded. Baseline was defined as the date of the first GFR measurement. GFR was calculated using the CG formula and MDRD formula, both were standardized for body surface area using the Mostellar formula;

$$\text{GFR (CG)} = \frac{(140-\text{age}) \times \text{weight (kg)}}{\text{Serum creatinine}} \times 0.85 \quad (\text{if female})$$

$$\text{Serum creatinine} \times 72$$

$$\text{GFR (MDRD)} = 186 \times \text{serum creatinine}^{-1.154} \times \text{age}^{-0.203} \times 0.742 \quad (\text{if female}) \times 1.21 \quad (\text{if black})$$

CRF was defined by a confirmed GFR of $\leq 60 \text{ mL/min per } 1.73\text{m}^2$. For each ARV and specific combinations, the number of days exposure to each drug was calculated. Logistic regression was used to determine the factors related to CRF at baseline, using forward selection ($p < 0.1$ inclusion criteria). Use of each antiretroviral was added to a model with the selected demographic factors. Use of ritonavir was considered in a single-PI or a boosted PI-regimen. Use of tenofovir DF and ritonavir occurred almost exclusively (96.8% of exposure) in patients taking ritonavir as part of a boosted PI regimen, and was not subdivided further. ARV use was modeled as ever exposed (yes/no), and cumulative exposure prior to baseline (continuous and categorical).

RESULTS

- 4474 patients satisfied the inclusion criterion and are described in **Table 1**, stratified by CRF at baseline.
- There was a high degree of correlation between the CG and MDRD methods (correlation coefficient 0.773, $p < 0.0001$).
- 158 patients (CG, 3.5%) and 209 patients (MDRD, 4.7%) had CRF at baseline. 101 patients (2.3%) had CRF with both formulae.

Table 1
Patient characteristics and GFR at baseline; standardised for BSA

	All patients N	%	CG CRF N	%	MDRD CRF N	%	
All patients	4474	100	158	3.5	209	4.7	
Gender							
Male	3404	76.1	131	82.9	183	87.6	
Female	1070	23.9	27	17.1	26	12.4	
Race							
White	3807	85.1	140	88.6	187	90.4	
Other	667	14.9	18	11.4	20	9.6	
Risk							
Homosexual	2032	45.4	79	50.0	113	54.1	
IDU	833	18.6	12	7.6	29	13.9	
Heterosexual	1300	29.1	49	31.0	48	23.0	
Other	69	1.5	18	11.4	19	9.1	
Atherosclerosis							
Yes	3852	86.1	123	77.9	169	80.9	
No	521	13.9	34	22.1	41	19.1	
Unknown	494	11.0	19	12.0	23	11.0	
Diabetes							
Yes	3877	86.7	121	76.6	162	77.5	
No	210	4.7	26	16.5	32	15.3	
Unknown	387	8.7	11	7.0	15	7.2	
Hypertension							
Yes	2551	57.9	85	32.9	73	34.9	
No	1223	22.3	45	15.7	53	24.0	
Unknown	700	55.7	25	13.3	22	10.5	
Smoking							
Status	No	2018	45.8	92	58.2	117	56.0
Past	227	5.4	13	8.2	14	6.7	
Current	3533	34.3	31	19.6	51	24.4	
Unknown	666	14.9	22	13.9	27	12.9	
Age							
Median	43.4	38.5-50.8	61.9	55-66.1	54.6	45.4-62.4	
IQR	455	310-645	403	266-538	430	314-575	
Viral load							
Median	1.70	1.70-2.61	1.70	1.70-2.48	1.70	1.70-2.20	
CD4 nadir	135	148-239	80	27-180	88	25-211	

RESULTS (continued)

- In general, patients with CRF were more likely to have atherosclerosis, diabetes, and hypertension, were older and had lower CD4 count nadirs than patients without CRF (both CG and MDRD formulae).
- Due to the similarity of the results, only results using the CG formula are presented from here.
- Figure 1** illustrates the proportion of patients exposed to each antiretroviral, or combinations of antiretrovirals, stratified according to CRF at baseline, using the CG formula.
- For example, for indinavir, 1.8% (38/2118) of patients never exposed had CRF compared to 5.1% (120/2356) of patients exposed to indinavir. The corresponding figures for tenofovir DF were 3.1% (93/3213) and 4.8% (60/1261) respectively.
- The results of logistic regression models are shown in **Table 2**. After adjustment, patients from Eastern Europe, older patients, patients with a higher CD4 nadir at baseline, or those with a higher viral load at baseline, had significantly increased odds of CRF at baseline.
- Use of indinavir or tenofovir DF was associated with increased odds and use of enfuvirtide was associated with decreased odds of CRF at baseline.
- Use of ritonavir as a single or as part of a boosted PI-regimen was not associated with increased odds of CRF at baseline, and use of tenofovir DF and ritonavir as part of the same regimen was not associated with increased odds of CRF at baseline.

Table 2

Factors associated with CRF at baseline (confirmed GFR $\leq 60 \text{ mL/min per } 1.73\text{m}^2$ at baseline; Cockcroft-Gault formula)

	Univariate		Multivariate			
	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	
Eastern Europe	0.92	0.57-1.50	0.74	2.45	1.35-4.45	0.0033
Prior AIDS	1.82	1.32-2.51	0.0002	1.34	0.88-2.02	0.47
Age	4.90	4.08-5.88	<0.0001	5.47	4.45-6.72	<0.0001
CD4 nadir	0.90	0.84-0.96	0.0023	0.90	0.82-0.99	0.028
Baseline	1.57	1.07-2.29	0.022	1.65	1.04-2.62	0.033
Viral load	0.92	0.63-1.35	0.68	1.54	0.98-2.41	0.062
Hypertension	3.25	2.36-4.48	<0.0001	1.34	0.92-1.95	0.12
Any tenofovir DF use	1.59	1.14-2.21	0.0057	2.18	1.25-3.81	0.0061
Any indinavir use	2.94	2.03-4.25	<0.0001	2.49	1.62-3.83	<0.0001
Any enfuvirtide use	0.49	0.12-2.00	0.32	0.13	0.03-0.65	0.013
Any ritonavir use (single PI regimen)	1.23	0.83-1.81	0.30	0.77	0.39-1.50	0.44
Any ritonavir use (2x PI regimen)	1.47	1.06-2.05	0.021	0.89	0.56-1.43	0.64
Any tenofovir DF/ritonavir use	1.52	1.05-2.20	0.026	1.27	0.82-1.98	0.29
Any renal-toxic prophylactic drug	1.99	1.39-2.85	0.0002	1.47	0.94-2.30	0.089

CONCLUSIONS

We have described the prevalence and risk factors for CRF (2 consecutive GFR $\leq 60 \text{ mL/min per } 1.73\text{m}^2$) in HIV-infected patients, including the most important risk factors known from the general population; highly consistent results were found using the CG and MDRD formula to calculate GFR.

- Patients with lower CD4 nadir, those with a prior diagnosis of AIDS, and older patients also had higher odds of CRF. Among antiretrovirals, only exposure to indinavir or tenofovir DF was associated with increased odds of CRF.
- We used a confirmed low GFR to define CRF to increase the robustness of our analysis, although there are several potential biases associated with this cross-sectional analysis.
- A causal relationship cannot be identified using a cross sectional analysis. Future analyses should focus on confirmed changes in GFR, requiring large numbers of patients and serial estimates of creatinine clearance.
- Ideally, serum creatinine should be measured prior to starting drugs so that the effect on serum creatinine can be described in detail, both whilst on treatment and if treatment is interrupted or modified.

