

Criteria for participation in EuroSIDA and for site inactivation:

1. Ethics approval

The senior investigator at each clinical site is responsible for obtaining and maintaining these approvals at all times during the conduct of the study. A centre must comply with the regulations for EC approval and procedure for obtaining Informed Consent from participants or have signed the Declaration of Absence of Ethics Approval for the EuroSIDA Study. If the CC despite repeated attempts from the CC, does not respond to this requirement, the CC reserves the right to consider inactivation of the collaborating centre.

2. Contract

A contract agreement for provision of resources between the collaborating centre (resource provider) and CHIP (sponsor) must be agreed upon and fully executed. If a collaborating center, despite repeated attempts from the CC, does not respond to this requirement, the CC reserves the right to consider inactivation of the collaborating centre.

3. Data quality for REDCap sites

It is the obligation of a given centre to provide quality data to the study. If a centre continuously deliver poor data identified through the annual QA process and on site monitoring by the CC (Poor data is identified by a lack of 'must-have' values or continuous errors) and, despite repeated attempts from the CC, does not improve their data quality by responding to queries and by ensuring a better data quality at the following dataset, the CC reserves the right to consider inactivation of the collaborating centre.

4. Data quality of the electronic sites

If a centre that delivers electronic data does not adhere to the "SOP for electronic data collection" and continuously delivers poor data identified through the annual QA process and on site monitoring by the CC (Poor data is identified by a lack of 'must-have' values or continuous errors) and despite repeated attempts from the CC, does not improve their data quality by responding to queries and by ensuring a better data quality at the following







dataset, the CC reserves the right to consider inactivation of the collaborating centre.

5. Data delivery

If a centre continuously (i.e. for several rounds of data collection) does not deliver the annual dataset and, despite repeated attempts from the CC, does not manage to meet the deadlines for delivering data, the CC reserves the right to consider inactivation of the collaborating centre.

6. Substudies

If a centre continuously (i.e. for several rounds of data collection) does not deliver substudy data forms (ie HCV forms, INSTI forms, CoDe forms) and, despite repeated attempts from the CC, does not manage to meet the deadlines for delivering the substudy forms, the CC reserves the right to consider inactivation of the collaborating centre.

7. Communication

If a centre continuously does not respond to communication sent by the CC and, despite repeated attempts from the CC, does not manage to maintain an open line of communication, the CC reserves the right to consider inactivation of the collaborating centre.