14th EACS 2013

Is Stavudine (D4T) still being used in EuroSIDA despite its association with toxicity and a higher frequency of severe adverse events?

D Grint¹, D Podlekareva², I Karpov³, A Rakmanova⁴, N Chentsova⁵, J D Lundgren², A Mocroft¹, O Kirk² for EuroSIDA in EuroCoord ¹UCL – Royal Free Campus, London, UK; ²Copenhagen HIV Programme, University of Copenhagen, Denmark; ³Belarus State Medical University, Minsk, Belarus; ⁴Medical Academy Botkin Hospital, St Petersburg, Russia; ⁵Kiev Centre for AIDS, Kiev, Ukraine;

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Since its authorisation in 1996, post-marketing reports and published literature regarding the side effects of Stavudine (D4T) led the WHO to recommend in 2009 that countries phase out its use due to its long term irreversible side-effects^{1,2}. Prescription labelling information has since been amended to state that D4T should be used for as short a time as possible and only when other antiretroviral (ARV) medicines cannot be used³. The aims of this study were to determine the prevalence of D4T use and describe temporal changes and factors associated with initiating D4T over time in EuroSIDA according to region of residence.

METHODS

All EuroSIDA patients taking combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) with at least 1 follow-up visit after 1/1/2006 were included in this study. A D4T-containing regimen was defined as D4T plus at least 2 other ARVs from any class, non-D4T-containing regimens were defined as at least 3 ARVs from any class. Poisson regression models were used to describe temporal changes in the prevalence of D4T use among cART users in EuroSIDA between January 2006 and June 2011, and to identify factors associated with initiation of D4T-based cART adjusting for region of residence.

RESULTS

- 5851 cART-users were included in January 2006 rising to 6879 in June 2011. Patients characteristics are shown in Table 1.
- The overall prevalence of D4T use among cART users fell from 11.3% in January 2006 to 1.2% in June 2011, a 16% fall per year in univariable Poisson regression (IRR: 0.84 (95% CI 0.83 – 0.85; p<0.0001)).
- D4T use declined to negligible levels in North, South, East Central and Western Europe over the study period (5.1% to 0.2%, 14.5% to 1.3%, 18.0% to 0.9% and 7.8% to 0.9%, respectively) (Figure 1).
- Large declines in D4T use were also seen in East Europe and Argentina, however, there remains a significant level of D4T use in these regions in 2011 (26.1% to 3.8% and 22.0% to 3.1%, respectively) (Figure 1).
- The incidence of D4T initiation fell sharply over the study period from 9.6 (95% CI 4.9 - 14.3) per 1000 person years follow-up (PYFU) in 2006 to 2.2 (0.4 - 3.9) per 1000 PYFU beyond 2010 (Figure 2). In multivariable Poisson regression, initiations of D4T were 6-fold higher (aIRR: 6.06 (95% CI 0.07 - 0.36; p<0.0001)) in 2006/07 compared to 2008/09, with no significant difference for 2010/11 (aIRR: 2.08 (95% CI 0.86 – 5.05; p=0.10)) compared to 2008/09 (Figure 3).
- Other factors associated with D4T initiation were residence in East Europe (aIRR: 4.82 (95% CI 1.26-18.3; p=0.021) vs. West Europe), baseline AIDS diagnosis (aIRR: 1.93 (95% CI 1.05-3.56; p=0.034)) and HIV-RNA (aIRR: 1.35 (95% CI 1.07-1.69; p=0.010) per log_{10} higher) (**Figure 3**).

CONCLUSIONS

D4T use has fallen sharply since 2006 to low levels in most regions, however, a significant level of D4T use remains in East Europe and Argentina. The incidence of new D4T initiations post 2006 remains low, but is more common in East Europe and in those with higher HIV-RNA levels. Reasons for regional differences may be multifactorial, but it is important to ensure that all clinicians treating HIV-positive patients are aware of the potential harmful effects associated with D4T treatment.

1st January 2006				1st June 2011		
	D4T users	Non-D4T cART users		D4T users	Non-D4T cART users	
Characteristic	(N=660)	(N=5191)	P-value ¹	(N=84)	(N=6795)	P-value
Region of Europe						
South	265 (40.2%)	1560 (30.1%)	<.0001	20 (23.8%)	1548 (22.8%)	<.000
West	108 (16.4%)	1273 (24.5%)		13 (15.5%)	1481 (21.8%)	
North	84 (12.7%)	1556 (30.0%)		3 (3.6%)	1709 (25.2%)	
East central	119 (18.0%)	542 (10.4%)		9 (10.7%)	1019 (15.0%)	
East	53 (8.0%)	150 (2.9%)		30 (35.7%)	754 (11.1%)	
Argentina	31 (4.7%)	110 (2.1%)		9 (10.7%)	284 (4.2%)	
HIV transmission route						
MSM	251 (38.0%)	2362 (45.5%)	<.0001	18 (21.4%)	2784 (41.0%)	0.00
IDU	173 (26.2%)	974 (18.8%)		18 (21.4%)	1247 (18.4%)	
Heterosexual	191 (28.9%)	1498 (28.9%)		39 (46.4%)	2229 (32.8%)	
Other	45 (6.8%)	357 (6.9%)		9 (10.7%)	535 (7.8%)	
HCV antibody status						
Negative	417 (63.2%)	3734 (71.9%)	<.0001	50 (59.5%)	4931 (72.6%)	0.02
Positive	206 (31.2%)	1118 (21.5%)		30 (35.7%)	1603 (23.6%)	
Unknown	37 (5.6%)	339 (6.5%)		4 (4.8%)	261 (3.8%)	
Age						
Median (IQR)	43 (38 - 49)	44 (39 - 51)	0.0102	40 (31 - 46)	42 (36 - 49)	0.00
Total ARVs exposed to						
Median (IQR)	8 (6 - 12)	10 (7 - 15)	<.0001	6 (4 - 12)	12 (7 - 17)	<.000
CD4 cell count (/mm ³)						
Median (IQR)	481 (304 - 698)	484 (326 - 676)	0.9627	588 (361 - 790)	552 (391 - 743)	0.572
HIV-RNA < 400 copies/ml						
No	151 (25.6%)	734 (15.1%)	<.0001	10 (16.1%)	403 (7.2%)	0.006
Yes	438 (74.4%)	4122 (84.9%)		52 (83.9%)	5226 (92.8%)	





References

1. Joly V, AIDS 2002;

2. European Medicines Agency (EMEA). Questions and answers on the review of Zerit (stavudine). www.ema.europa.eu;

3. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-1-Infected Adults and Adolescents. www.aidsinfo.nih.gov

topala JM Barnon Meja, Buenon Aren, Autora (N Wenter), Pulmologoken Zentrum der State Wien, Vienna, R Zungerti, Mendical Liniversity Institutti, Barlanzi (N Lingerta), Anstallentis, Betters (State Weiter), Vienderschow, University Zinsteinito, Bettersch (T National Neuropolity), et al. (Contersch Lingertist), Contextita Contextita State Weiter), Contextita Contextita State Weiter, Vienderschow, University Zinsteinito, Bettersch (T National Neuropolity), et al. (Context), Elongia Contextita State Weiter), State Vienter, National Neuropolity, Paragerti D, State State (States), State State State States), State State State State State States (States), State States, States State States), States Sta Latvia: (B Rozentale), I Zeltina, Infectology Centre of Latvia, Riga. Lithuania: (S Chaplinskas), Lithuanian AIDS Centre, Vilnius. Luxembourg: (T Staub), Ital, Oslo. Poland: (B Knys1): Gasiorowski, Medical University, Wrockwy, A Horban, B Bakowska, Centrum Diagnostyki Treanji AIDS, Warsaw, A Gress dical University, Gdansk, E Malologas, K Wojek, Wojewodki Szytal Specialistycrmy, Lodz. Portugal: (M Doronan), Lell horzow, I Trocha, Medical University, Gainak, T Labilonovak, E Malolegua, K. Wojck, Wojewoddi Saphtal Specialhytery, Lock Pertugi, S Morania, L. Claterin, Neppalal Santa Maria, Labiora, North Maria, Labora, Karaka Maria, Karaka Maria, Labora, Karaka Maria, Karaka Maria, Labora, Karaka Maria, Karaka Maria, Labora, Karaka Maria, Karaka

n, D Grint, A Schultze, L Shepherd, D Raber, D Podlekareva, J Kjær, L Peters, J E Nielsen, C Matthews, A H Fischer, A Bojeser oft, J. Granup, P. Reiss, A. Cozzi-Lepri, R. Thiebaut, J. Rockstroh, D. Burger, R. Paredes, J. Kjær, L. Peters

DA is provided by the European Commission 800MED 1 (CT94-1637), 800MED 2 (CT97-2713), the 5th Framework (QU2-2000-00773), the 6th Framework (USHP-CT-2006-018632), and the 7th Framework (FP7/2007-2013, EuroCoord n° 260694) progr k and Co. Inc., Pitzer Inc., GlaudSmithKline LLC. The participation of centres from Switzerland was supported by The Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant 108787).

Factors associated with D4T initiation post 2006 alRR (95% C.I.) Calendar time (10/11 vs. 08/09) Age (per 5 years older) 0.83 (0.69-1.00) South Europe (vs. West) 0.64 (0.14-3.00) North 0.18 (0.02-1.68) 1.26 (0.30-5.28) East Central East 4.82 (1.26-18.3) Argentina 0.97 (0.15-6.26) Injecting drug user (vs. MSM) 2.17 (0.76-6.21) Heterosexual Baseline AIDS diagnosis Current CD4 cell count (per doubling) Current CD4 cell nadir (per doubling)

Adjusted incidence rate ratio (aIRR)







Download poster at: www.cphiv.dk

